CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC20314

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Soil/Groundwater

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Groundwater and soil samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken May 13, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List (1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene were analyzed following the SIM technique); TCL pesticides list; and for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) the results were reported under SDG No.: JC20314. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC20314-1	RA9(5-6)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4- dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC20314-2	EB051316	AQ – Equipment Blank	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list; 1,-4- dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC20314-3	BR4(4.5-5.5)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; 1,-4- dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM); LMWA
JC20314-4	RA9-GWS	Groundwater	LMWA

Ménduz

584959

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

June 11, 2016

Report of Analysis

By

AC

05/18/16

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID:	RA9(5-6)
Lab Sample ID:	JC20314-1

File ID

Z110820.D

Matrix: Method: SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Analyzed

05/20/16

Date Sampled: Date Received:

OP93998

05/13/16 05/14/16 Percent Solids: 83.7

EZ5538

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch**

Run #1

Run #2

Initial Weight Final Volume

DF

1

30.9 g 1.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	77	27	ug/kg	
59-5 0-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	190	35	ug/kg	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	190	38	ug/kg	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	190	93	ug/kg	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	190	150	ug/kg	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	190	56	ug/kg	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	77	44	ug/kg	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	77	44	ug/kg	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	190	34	ug/kg	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	390	110	ug/kg	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	190	33	ug/kg	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	77	30	ug/kg	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	190	50	ug/kg	
95-95-4	2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	ND	190	55	ug/kg	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	190	43	ug/kg	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	39	7.3	ug/kg	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	39	5.3	ug/kg	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	190	20	ug/kg	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	39	17	ug/kg	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	77	12	ug/kg	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	39	6.0	ug/kg	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	39	9.5	ug/kg	
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	39	8.1	ug/kg	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	39	10	ug/kg	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	39	11	ug/kg	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	77	18	ug/kg	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	77	22	ug/kg	
92-52-4	I,1 Biphenyl	ND	77	11	ug/kg	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	190	9.4	ug/kg	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	77	7.3	ug/kg	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	190	11	ug/kg	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	77	7.5	ug/kg	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA9(5-6)
Lab Sample ID: JC20314-1
Matrix: SO - Soil

SO - Soil SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16 Percent Solids: 83.7

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	77	28	ug/kg	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	39	9.9	ug/kg	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	77	8.2	ug/kg	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	77	22	ug/kg	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	77	15	ug/kg	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	77	10	ug/kg	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	39	14	ug/kg	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	39	12	ug/kg	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	77	52	ug/kg	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	39	15	ug/kg	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	77	7.0	ug/kg	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	77	24	ug/kg	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	77	25	ug/kg	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	77	8.7	ug/kg	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	77	7.9	ug/kg	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	77	8.4	ug/kg	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	39	18	ug/kg	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	39	15	ug/kg	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	77	9.8	ug/kg	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	39	15	ug/kg	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	390	23	ug/kg	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	190	29	ug/kg	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	39	13	ug/kg	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	77	8.6	ug/kg	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	77	6.9	ug/kg	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	77	31	ug/kg	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	190	28	ug/kg	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	190	14	ug/kg	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	190	15	ug/kg	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	77	17	ug/kg	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	77	18	ug/kg ug/kg	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	190	22	ug/kg	SECTION AND A
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	39	9.2	ug/kg	NOCHDO OF
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	39	6.8	ug/kg ug/kg	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	190	8.7		2 United Infinite
00 01 0	2,2,3,0 2 CHBCHIOI OUCHZENE	NO	130	0,1	ug/kg	Mendez
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	its	K. # 1908
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	79%		30-1	06%	Up.
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	81%		30-1		COLICENCIA

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

] = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA9(5-6) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-1 Matrix:

SO - Soil SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16 Percent Solids: 83.7

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	82%		24-140%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	83%		26-122%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	81%		36-112%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	79%		36-132%



RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Samp Lab Samp Matrix; Method: Project:	le ID: JC2033 SO - S SW848	14-1 oil 6 8270D BY	SIM SW846 5 Area, PR	3546		Date	Received: 05	5/13/16 5/14/16 3.7
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 4P16370.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/20/16	By LK	Prep D 05/18/1		Prep Batch OP93998A	Analytical Batch E4P867
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight 30.9 g	Final Vo	lume		· · ·			
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDŁ	Units	Q	
123-91-1 91-20-3	1,4-Dioxane ^a Naphthalene		ND ND	3.9 3.9	0.78 0.47	ug/kg ug/kg		
CAS No.	Surrogate Rec	coveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-c 2-Fluorobipher Terphenyl-d14	nyl	97% 83% 88%		12-1	38% 48% 57%		

(a) Not accredited for this compound at the time of analysis, but all method requirements were followed.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA9(5-6) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-1 Matrix: SO - Soil

SW846-8015C (DAI)

Date Sampled: Date Received: Percent Solids: 83.7

05/13/16 05/14/16

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 GH105108.D 1 05/19/16 XPL n/a n/a GGH5292

Run #2

Initial Weight

Run #1

Run #2

Low Molecular Alcohol List

5.0 g

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	120	82	ug/kg	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	120	70	ug/kg	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	120	68	ug/kg	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	120	48	ug/kg	
71-36-3	л-Butyl Alcohol	ND	120	65	ug/kg	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	120	64	ug/kg	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	240	57	ug/kg	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	96%		52-1	41%	
111-27-3	Hexanol	101%			41%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: EB051316 Lab Sample ID:

JC20314-2 AQ - Equipment Blank

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Method: Project:

Matrix:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Вy Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 a Z110704.D 1 05/16/16 AC 05/15/16 OP93924 EZ5530

Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 1000 ml 1.0 ml

Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.0	0.82	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.0	0.89	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND -	2.0	1.3	սց/ն	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.0	2.4	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.0	1.3	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.89	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.88	ug/l	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.0	0.96	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.4	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.5	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.3	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.0	0.92	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.45	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.0	0.29	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a) anthracene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline b	ND	5.0	0.34	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: EB051316 Lab Sample ID: JC20314-2 Matrix: AO - Equip

AQ - Equipment Blank

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

105-60-2 Caprolactam	CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
111-91-1 bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.65	119/1	
111-91-1 bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	218-01-9					_	
111-44-4 bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether ND 2.0 0.25 ug/l 108-60-1 bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether ND 2.0 0.40 ug/l 7005-72-3 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether 121-14-2 2.4-Dinitrotoluene ND 1.0 0.55 ug/l 606-20-2 2.6-Dinitrotoluene ND 1.0 0.48 ug/l 91-94-1 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine ND 2.0 0.51 ug/l 53-70-3 Dibenzo(a,b)anthracene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 132-64-9 Dibenzofuran ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 84-74-2 Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.50 ug/l 117-84-0 Di-n-octyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.23 ug/l 131-11-3 Dimethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.26 ug/l 131-11-3 Dimethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobethane ND 1.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 97-67-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 99-99-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run# 1 Run# 2 Limits	111-91-1		ND	2.0			
108-60-1 bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether ND 2.0 0.40 ug/l 7005-72-3 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l 121-14-2 2.4-Dinitrotoluene ND 1.0 0.55 ug/l 606-20-2 2.6-Dinitrotoluene ND 1.0 0.48 ug/l 91-94-1 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine ND 2.0 0.51 ug/l 53-70-3 Dibenzofuran ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 84-74-2 Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.50 ug/l 117-84-0 Di-n-octyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.50 ug/l 117-84-0 Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.23 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-7 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 1.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.49 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.49 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.48 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.48 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.48 ug/l 98-95-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 98-95-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5	111-44-4	bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether	ND	2.0			
121-14-2 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	108-60-1		ND	2.0	0.40		
121-14-2	7005-72-3		ND	2.0		_	
1.0	121-14-2		ND	1.0	0.55	4.0	
91-94-1 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.48		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l	91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.51		
132-64-9 Dibenzofuran ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l	53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.33		
84-74-2 Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.50 ug/l 117-84-0 Di-n-octyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.23 ug/l 84-66-2 Diethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.26 ug/l 131-11-3 Dimethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.49 ug/l 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10 2.8 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 78-59-1 Isophorone ND 2.0 0.38 ug/l 190-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 88-60-6 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run# 1 Run# 2 Limits	132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.0	0.22		
117-84-0 Di-n-octyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.23 ug/l 84-66-2 Diethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.26 ug/l 131-11-3 Dimethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l 206-44-0 Fluoranthene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-8 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.49 ug/l 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 67-72-1 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrosodi-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.50		
84-66-2 Diethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.26 ug/l 131-11-3 Dimethyl phthalate ND 2.0 0.22 ug/l 117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l 206-44-0 Fluoranthene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 86-73-7 Fluorene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l 118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.49 ug/l 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10 2.8 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run# 1 Run# 2 Limits	117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.23		
131-11-3	84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.26	_	
117-81-7 bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate ND 2.0 1.7 ug/l	131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22		
206-44-0 Fluoranthene ND 1.0 0.17 ug/l	117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7		
Section	206-44-0		ND	1.0	0.17		
118-74-1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.49 ug/l 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10 2.8 ug/l 67-72-1 Hexachlorocthane ND 2.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 78-59-1 Isophorone ND 2.0 0.28 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-01-6 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	_	
87-68-3 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 0.49 ug/l 77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10 2.8 ug/l 67-72-1 Hexachloroethane ND 2.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 78-59-1 Isophorone ND 2.0 0.28 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	-	
77-47-4 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10 2.8 ug/l 67-72-1 Hexachloroethane ND 2.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 78-59-1 Isophorone ND 2.0 0.28 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND	87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.49		
67-72-1 Hexachloroethane ND 2.0 0.39 ug/l 193-39-5 Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 1.0 0.33 ug/l 78-59-1 Isophorone ND 2.0 0.28 ug/l 90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8		
193-39-5	67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.39		
ND 2.0 0.28 ug/l	193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.33		
90-12-0 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.26 ug/l 91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28		
91-57-6 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 1.0 0.21 ug/l 88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.26		
88-74-4 2-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.28 ug/l 99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21		
99-09-2 3-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.39 ug/l 100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits	88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.28	-	
100-01-6 4-Nitroaniline ND 5.0 0.44 ug/l 98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.39	_	
98-95-3 Nitrobenzene ND 2.0 0.64 ug/l 621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.44	_	
621-64-7 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine ND 2.0 0.48 ug/l 86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.64	_	
86-30-6 N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 5.0 0.22 ug/l 85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.48		
85-01-8 Phenanthrene ND 1.0 0.18 ug/l 129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.0	0.22	_	
129-00-0 Pyrene ND 1.0 0.22 ug/l 95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18		
95-94-3 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene ND 2.0 0.37 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	_	
367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 38% 14-88%	95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.37	_	
1105.00.0	CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
4165-62-2 Phenol-d5 23% 10-110%	367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	38%		14-8	8%	
	4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	23%		10-1	10%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: EB051316 Lab Sample ID: JC20314-2 Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

05/13/16 Date Sampled: Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids:

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	62%	•	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	76%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	68%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	83%		10-126%

(a) There is compound in BS was outside in house QC limits. There's no sample left to re-extract.

(b) This compound in BS is outside in house QC limits bias low. There's no sample left to reextract.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

321-60-8

1718-51-0

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sampl Lab Sampl Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: JC203 AQ - I SW840	14-2 Equipment (5 8270D B)		3510C	,	Date	-	5/13/16 5/14/16 ⁄a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3M61366.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/16/16	By AD	Prep D 05/15/		Prep Batch OP93924A	Analytical Batch E3M2885
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 1000 ml	Final V	olume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane		ND ND	0.10 0.10	0.029 0.049	ug/l ug/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Re	coveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-	d 5	63%		24-1	25%		

74%

93%



2-Fluorobiphenyl

Terphenyl-d14

19-127%

10-119%

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB051316

Lab Sample ID: JC20314-2

Matrix: Method: Project:

AQ - Equipment Blank SW846-8015C (DAI)

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled:

05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: n/a

	Pal- III	TNE	A color 4				
Run #1	File ID GH104985.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/16/16	By XPL	Prcp Date n/a	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch GGH5285
Run #2							

Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l	
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	103%		56-1	45%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

RK

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

EB051316 JC20314-2

AQ - Equipment Blank

1

10.0 ml

Matrix: Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/13/16 05/14/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids:

Run #1 Run #2 File ID 1G123140.D

1000 ml

DF Analyzed 05/17/16

Prep Date 05/15/16

Prep Batch OP93925

Q

Analytical Batch G1G3990

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0060	ug/l
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0057	ug/l
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.010	0.0028	ug/l
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.010	0.0046	ug/l
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.010	0.0036	ug/l
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.010	0.0062	ug/l
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.010	0.0053	ug/l
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.010	0.0051	ug/l
959-98-8	Endosulfan-1	ND	0.010	0.0050	ug/l
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.010	0.0043	ug/l
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.010	0.0038	ug/l
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.010	0.0065	ug/l
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.020	0.0057	ug/l
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.25	0.18	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	91%		26-13	2%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	88%		26-13	2%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	57%		10-11	8%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	61%		10-11	8%

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

fael Infante Méndez 10 # 1888

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: BR4(4.5-5.5) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-3

SO - Soil

Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Matrix: Method:

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids: 89.7

Project:

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 Z110821.D 1 05/20/16 AC 05/18/16 OP93998 EZ5538

Run #2

Initial Weight **Final Volume** Run #1 31.8 g $1.0 \, ml$

Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	70	24	ug/kg	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	180	32	ug/kg	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	180	34	ug/kg	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	180	84	ug/kg	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	180	140	ug/kg	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	180	51	ug/kg	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	70	40	ug/kg	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	70	40	ug/kg	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	180	30	ug/kg	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	350	97	ug/kg	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	180	30	ug/kg	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	70	27	ug/kg	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	180	46	ug/kg	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	180	50	ug/kg	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	180	39	ug/kg	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	35	6.6	ug/kg	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	35	4.8	ug/kg	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	180	18	ug/kg	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	35	15	ug/kg	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	70	11	ug/kg	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	35	5.4	ug/kg	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	35	8.6	ug/kg	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	20.5	35	7.4	ug/kg	J
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	16.9	35	9.4	ug/kg	j
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	35	10	ug/kg	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	70	16	ug/kg	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	70	20	ug/kg	
92-52-4	1,1 Biphenyl	ND	70	9.9	ug/kg	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	180	8.5	ug/kg	1
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	70	6.6	ug/kg	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	180	10	ug/kg	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	70	6.8	ug/kg	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: BR4(4.5-5.5) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-3 Matrix:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: 89.7

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	70	26	ug/kg		
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	35	8.9	ug/kg		
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	70	7.4	ug/kg		
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	70	20	ug/kg		
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	70	14	ug/kg		
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	70	9.3	ug/kg		
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	35	13	ug/kg		
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	35	11	ug/kg		
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	70	47	ug/kg		
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	35	13	ug/kg		
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	70	6.3	ug/kg		
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	70	22	ug/kg		
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	70	23	ug/kg		
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	70	7.9	ug/kg		
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	70	7.2	ug/kg		
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	36.7	70	7.6	ug/kg	J	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	23.6	35	16	ug/kg	j	
86-73-7	Fluorene	26.2	35	14	ug/kg	j	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	70	8.9	ug/kg		
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	35	14	ug/kg		
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	350	21	ug/kg		
67-72- 1	Hexachloroethane	ND	180	27	ug/kg		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	14.7	35	12	ug/kg	J	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	70	7.8	ug/kg	-	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	277	70	6.2	ug/kg		
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	226	70	28	ug/kg		
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	180	25	ug/kg		
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	180	12	ug/kg		
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	180	14	ug/kg		
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	70	15	ug/kg		
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	70	16	ug/kg		
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	180	20	ug/kg		SOCHOOL
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	14.3	35	8.4	ug/kg	j	832
129-00-0	Pyrene	25.1	35	6.1	ug/kg	Ī	3
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	180	7.9	ug/kg	•	fael Infinite Méndez
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	its		IC # 1888
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	77%		30-1	06%		LINCO LICENCY
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	75%		30-1	06%		LICERS

ND = Not detected RL = Reporting Limit MDL = Method Detection Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: BR4(4.5-5.5) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-3 Matrix:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: 89.7

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6 4165-60-0 321-60-8	2,4,6-Tribromophenol Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl	75% 79% 76%		24-140% 26-122% 36-112%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	76%		36-132%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: BR4(4.5-5.5) Lab Sample ID: JC20314-3 Matrix:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3546

Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

Percent Solids: 89.7

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** 4P16371.D Run #1 1 05/20/16 LK 05/18/16 OP93998A E4P867

Run #2

Method:

Initial Weight Final Volume Run #1 31.8 g 1.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units Q 123-91-1 1,4-Dioxane a ND 3.5 0.70 ug/kg 91-20-3 Naphthalene 47.4 3.5 0.43ug/kg CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 116% 15-138% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 35% 12-148% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 85% 10-157%

(a) Not accredited for this compound at the time of analysis, but all method requirements were followed.



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

fael Infa Méndez IC # 1881

Report of Analysis

Ву

XPL

Prep Date

n/a

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

BR4(4.5-5.5) JC20314-3

Date Sampled: 05/13/16 Date Received: 05/14/16

n/a

Q

GGH5292

Matrix: Method: SO - Soil SW846-8015C (DAI)

DF

1

Percent Solids: 89.7

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

05/19/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch**

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Weight

GH105111.D

File ID

Run #1

Run #2

Low Molecular Alcohol List

5.0 g

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
64-17-5 78-83-1 67-63-0 71-23-8 71-36-3	Ethanol Isobutyl Alcohol Isopropyl Alcohol n-Propyl Alcohol n-Butyl Alcohol	ND ND ND ND ND	110 110 110 110	77 66 64 45	ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg
78-92-2 67-56-1	sec-Butyl Alcohol Methanol	ND 296	110 220	59 53	ug/kg ug/kg ug/kg
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its
111-27-3 111-27-3	Hexanol Hexanol	105% 109%	Se Se		41% 41%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

XPL

n/a

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA9-GWS Lab Sample ID: JC20314-4

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

SW846-8015C (DAI)

Date Sampled: Date Received: 05/14/16

Q

05/13/16 Percent Solids: n/a

GGH5285

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch**

Run #1 Run #2

Project:

Low Molecular Alcohol List

File ID

GH104986.D

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	
64-17-5 78-83-1 67-63-0 71-23-8 71-36-3 78-92-2 67-56-1	Ethanol Isobutyl Alcohol Isopropyl Alcohol n-Propyl Alcohol n-Butyl Alcohol sec-Butyl Alcohol Methanol	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	100 100 100 100 100 100 200	55 36 68 43 87 66 71	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	102%		56-1	45%	

Analyzed

05/16/16



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Ī		
	,	r
	U	В,

Commentered Advantage	Project Name	16.5		100	Arculest	PD	Jt_		***		77.5		•	THE P	The second	2	1	n en	一丁と	20	S14 Matrix Cod
Anderson Mulholland Assoc Inc	<u>B</u>	MS R	leas	e	455	උද	SI	hci	11	OLUMBER 1	U 4 44 T	SYOC-Method B270D		Mcthed 80818	1						DW - Dresting s GW - Dround V
2700 Westchester	Coy . 1		limb _O	Ambry	delication by Polices	m (Kan	-	brane fi	- Table			7	Mcthod 8015B	8	33						WW - Was SW - Surface V SO - Sod
Purchase NY	Hu	Macao	PR		MICHAEL .				_			8	108	4	2						SEO-Saden SEO-Saden
Terry Taylor	Cheri Purchas	Order 8		Car				Steam	_	Zu		1	8	Σ	24						LIG - Oner tic ASR - Air BOL - Other S
N.K. (Jena, T. Taylor, D. Lindstize	Proper Manage											X	1	5	2						FB-Feed Blan
	Callage 1	_	Common		_		_					ည်	2	-3			$ \ $		- 1		EB-Equipment (PSI- Phrop St. TB-Trip Blan
Edit El / Pront of Colorsina			Commen				h	1	Z		X X	%	-MM-	意	軿	1	11				
Field ID / Point of Collection	NECHOI VIII)	on les	T==		-	Col hallon	2	\$ [§	M Ca		ă			द्धा	34		Ш	\perp	\perp		LAB USE OF
E8051316		DE/13/16	1039	TT	SO EB	6	2	Н	2	+	+	Ÿ	X	_	<u>×</u>	+				П	Dile
BR4 (4.5-5.5)		05/13/16	1150	TT	50	2	1	H	3	+	- -	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	-	X	+-	\vdash	+	+	\vdash	4€6 1=74
RA9-GWS		05/13/16	1320	77	6W	5	3	П	2	\Box		Х	X		x _			\pm	\uparrow	\vdash	1/105
		-	-				H	+	+	++	41	-		-	_			\perp	\perp		- 2
							1	11	+	++	+1		\dashv	\dashv		╁	\vdash		+-	\vdash	
							1	П	П			\Box	İ					+	+-		
						-	Н	₩	++	++	+		\dashv	1	\perp			工			
						-	H	+	++	++	╂		\dashv	+	+	Н	~		+- $+$	\dashv	
(p) Tyramana Date (Basiness days)	PI WINE CO					1			\coprod	\coprod		\dashv	_	$^{+}$	+	+	\dashv	+	+ 1	\dashv	
X and 18 manuson Days For Soil Seasple		Market Pale / Date:	3.5			al "A" (Le	rvol 1)			er Hyasp	Catego	74		Δ12		Come	nares / S	parted legs	tructions .	R7 (45)	N. HEW
S Day RUSH	INITIAL	SESSMENT,	3Am	ব	ULLT1 (I	Lowel 3+4		1		TYAEP Make Fe	PROS.	לו עי	H	<u> </u>	0 10 C	rep.	<u> </u>	(-Mc	Thy	repl	thelene
2 Day RUGH BY Day AUSH FOC OLIVEOUS SOME	LIABEL V	ERIFICATION	Jh.	.≅∙	i Hoduce Arthorni	al TCT			7	190 /e 244	rmel		-	<u>Niti</u>	37	OC	MCM	W 3	270	<u>ر</u>	
_ · ·	-				AU Date o al "A" = R				cal Repo		OC 5	****	-			_					
Emilianity & Rush TiA data available VA Cabbia				NJ Fieds	red - Deed	m - 00 i						· '	I.						ipt in the		

JC20314: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC20314

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

2

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Three (3) samples were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 —Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. Sample JC20314-4 not analyzed for SVOCs but included in chain-of-custody-form.

2. Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of \pm 25 or 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

Analytes not meeting the continuing calibration verification criteria of the guidance document (hexachlorobutadiene) were qualified UJ in samples JC20314-2.

Analytes not meeting the continuing calibration verification criteria of the guidance document (naphthalene) were qualified UJ and J in samples JC20314-1 and JC20314-3.

3. MS % recovery outside control limits for 1,4-Dioxane in JC20314-1MS/MSD (SIM). Result for 1,4-dioxane qualified UJ in sample JC20314-1.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

June 11, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC20314-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: Soil

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	77	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	190	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	190	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	. 77	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	Ų	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	77	ug/kg	1	-	UJ	Yes

WETTOD.	02700					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis (2-Chlorois opropyl) ether	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	39	ug/kg	1	-	Ų	Yes
Dibenzofuran	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	77	ug/kg	1	•	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	39	ug/kg	1		U	Yes
Fluorene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachiorobenzene	77	ug/kg	1	-	Ų	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	390	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	190	ug/kg	1	₁₂₀ =	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	190	ug/kg	1	•	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	77	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	77	ug/kg	1	•	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	190	ug/kg	1	•	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	39	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachiorobenzene	190	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD: 8	3270D (SII	M)				
Naphthalene	3.9	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	3.9	ug/kg	1	-	UJ	Yes

Analyte Name

Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC20314-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	ៈ ប	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD:	62/UU					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
9.						
METHOD:	8270D (SII	M)				
Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name

Result

Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC20314-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: Soil

Analyte Name	Result	Units [Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	180	ug/kg	1	- ,	. U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	350	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes 😅
Phenol	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	35	ug/kg	1	2	U	Yes
Acetophenone	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	70	ug/kg	1	-	UJ	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	20.5	ug/kg	1	J	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	16.9	ug/kg	1	J	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	70	ug/kg	1	=	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	70	ug/kg	1	2	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	180	ug/kg	1	7.	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	180	ug/kg	1	5	U	Yes
Carbazole	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	70	ug/kg	1	2	U	Yes
Chrysene	35	ug/kg	1	=	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	70	ug/kg	1	_	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	70	ug/kg	1		UJ	Yes

. . . *

WEITIOD.	82700					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis (2-Chlorois opropyl) ether	70	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	35	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	36.7	ug/kg	1	J	UJ	Yes
Fluoranthene	23.6	ug/kg		J	UJ	Yes
Fluorene	26.2	ug/kg	1	j	UJ	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	70	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	35	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	350	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	180	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	14.7	ug/kg		J	UJ	Yes
Isophorone	70	ug/kg		_	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	277	ug/kg		-	_	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	226	ug/kg		-	_	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	180	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	180	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	180	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	70	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	70	ug/kg		_	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	180	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	14.3	ug/kg	1	J	UJ	Yes
Pyrene	25.1	ug/kg		J	UJ	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	180	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
		5 . 6				
METHOD:	8270D (SII	M)				
Naphthalene	47.4	ug/kg	1	-	J	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	3.5	ug/kg		_	U	Yes
		_, _,				

	Date:May_13,_2016Shipping Date:May_13,_2016EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE OR	RGANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assudgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedent Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015—Revision 0. Seminary data validation actions listed on the data reviguidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data of to USEPA data validation guidance be: EPA Hazardous Waste Support platile Data Validation. The QC criteria
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutesteviewed and the quality control and performance data ncluded:	data package received has been summarized. The data review for SVOCs
.ab. Project/SDG No.:JC20314 No. of Samples:3_Full_scan/3_SIM	
rip blank No.:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesX GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Overall Comments:_ABN_TCL_list_by_method_SW846- analyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM) Sample_JC20314-4_not_analyzed_for_SVOCs	8270D;_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_
Definition of Qualifiers:	
Estimated results Compound not detected Rejected data JJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: 4 au au au au Date:June_11, 2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	····	
		
	.,	
	N	
10	A 1979	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION
All samples extracte	d and analyzed wil	hin method recommended ho	lding (time. Sample preservation was acceptable.
	l			

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 2.4°C	
--	--

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

			Ac	tion
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
No		≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
Aqueous	Yes	≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ
Yes/No		Grossly Exceeded	J UJ or R	
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment
Non Aguagua	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	j	UJ or R

All criteria were metX_	
Criteria were not met see below	

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affecte	
			- 350 93	
_	<u> </u>			

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

	05/18/16_(SIM) GCMS4P	
Matrix/Level:		GCMS3M Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:_04	/13-14/2016;_05/18/16_(Sca	n)
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMSZ	
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED	
Initial and initial calibration verification meets the method and guidance validation document performance criteria.						

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Спіспа	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J± or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatili Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum "%D"
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	= 50.0
Benzaldehyde	0,100	40.0	± 40.0	= 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	- 20.0	±25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	= 25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	= 20.0	= 25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
lexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Nitrobenzene	0,090	20.0	± 20.0	= 25.0
Sophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	= 25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20,0	= 20.0	= 25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	= 50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	= 20.0	= 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	-40.0	± 50.0
lexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	= 25.0
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	= 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	±20.0	= 25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	= 25.0
,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	= 25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ^t
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	£25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0,200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0,010	40.0	= 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40,0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20,0	£25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	= 20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0,010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20,0	±20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40,0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40,0	± 40.0	±50.0
Phenanthrene	0,200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene	0,400	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20,0	±25.0	± 50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	±40.0	- 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	= 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	= 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20,0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	= 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	= 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0,100	20,0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	= 25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	= 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	± 40.0	= 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	= 50.0	± 50.0	
Deuterated Monitoring Com	pounds				

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-dx	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-ds	0,010	20,0	= 25.0	± 25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-dx	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-dx	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d ₁	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d₄	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d	0,060	20.0	= 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d _x	0.400	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0.100	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0,010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0,300	20.0	=25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	= 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	=25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	04/13-14/16;_05/17/16_(Scan)
Date of initial calibration verification (IC	CV):04/14/16;_05/18/16
	on (CCV):_05/16/16;_05/19/16;_05/20/16
Date of closing CCV:	-
	GCMSZ
	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration: 04/21/16	(SIM)
Date of initial calibration verification (IC	CV):04/21/16
Date of continuing calibration verification	on (CCV):05/16/16
Date of closing CCV:	
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS3M
	Aqueous/low
	_(SIM)
Date of initial calibration verification (IC	CV):05/18/16
Date of continuing calibration verification	on (CCV):05/19/16;_05/20/16
Date of closing CCV:	
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS4P
Matrix/Level:	
	-

DATE	LAB FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES
	ID#	RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r		AFFECTED
GCMS4P				
05/19/16	cc863-1	38.4	1,4-Dioxane*	QC sample
05/14/16	cc8635	-24.9	Naphthalene	JC20314-1; -3
GCMSZ				
05/16/16	cc5485-50	-35.4	Hexachlorobutadiene	JC20314-2
		-33.6	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol*	
05/19/16	cc5533-25	-28.6	2,4-dinitrophenol*	QC sample
		-20.3	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol*	
05/20/16	cc5533-50	-28.6	2,4-dinitrophenol*	JC20314-1; -3
		-20.2	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol*	

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria except the cases describe in the list enclosed. Results qualified as estimated (J), (UJ) for non-detects.

No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

* Analytes with % difference in the continue calibration verification outside the method performance criteria but within the validation guidelines criteria, +40 %. No action taken.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
Citteria for Opening CC, v	Criteria for Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	υj
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

All criteria were met	Х_	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	-	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
		_in_the_equipn		p_blanks_analyzed_with_this
			0.55	

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method,	≥ CRQL	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field	•	≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/l. (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES – DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Cutanita	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R	
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ	
Lower Acceptance limit $\leq \%R \leq U$ pper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification	

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:___Groundwater_____

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

_DMCs_meet_the_required_criteria._Non-deuterated_surrogates_added_to_the_samples_were__
_within_laboratory_recovery_limits.______

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-d ₈ (DMC-1)	Phenol-d ₅ (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d ₈ (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-l)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	
Nitrobenzene-d5(DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4(DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene
Hexachloroethane		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
2.4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachforobenzene
		*Pentachlorophenol
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆ (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-ds (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d ₄ (DMC-12)
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline
1.1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline
Dimethy lphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline
Butylbenzylphthalate		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate		

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	Ti.	*Phenanthrene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole		*Anthracene
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	-
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
20	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	W.

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the

Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the

MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC20218-17A Sample ID:JC20314-1_(SIM)					
MS OR MSD _JC20314-1MS	COMPOUND /MSD_(SIM)	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
MS/MSD	1,4-Dioxane	48%)	50150	Qualify_results
Note: Results for 1,4-dioxane qualified as UJ in sample JC20314-1.					

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were mel _X	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal area meets the required criteria of batch samples corresponding to this data package.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- 3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Crieria	Detect	Non-detect	
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R	
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	Ü	
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification	
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J=	No qualification	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification	

		Criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET COM	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	re Retention Times (RRTs) of reported com Γ [opening Continuing Calibration Verification on].	•
List compound	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum from	of the sample compound and a current labor in the associated calibration standard (openin nust match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spe 10% must be present in the sample spectr	g CCV or mid-point standard from initial ctrum at a relative intensity greater than
b.	The relative intensities of these ions n standard and sample spectra (e.g., for an standard spectrum, the corresponding sa 30-70%).	nust agree within $\pm 20\%$ between the n ion with an abundance of 50% in the
C.	lons present at greater than 10% in the sa the standard spectrum, must be evaluate spectral interpretation.	
List compound	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
_ldentified_co	mpounds_meet_the_required_criteria	

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound	
				===
				200

Action:

List TICs

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action			
Crneria	Detects	Non-detects		
%Solids < 10,0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification		

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

				Criter	iteria were metN/A na were not met or see below
FIELD DUPLICATE	PRECIS	SION			
Sample IDs:			M	atrix:	-
Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.					
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/leheraten	dualisat	a analyzed on a	art of this data are	kana MC	MACD 0/ and blook
•	uplicate	recoveries RPD	•	_	MSD % and blank within the required

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_

OTHER ISSUES

A. System	n Performance	
List samples qu	ualified based on the degradation of system p	performance during simple analysis:
Sample ID	Comments	Actions
Action:		
degraded durin	al judgment to qualify the data if it is deto g sample analyses. Inform the Contract Lat lation of system performance which significat	poratory Program COR any action as a
3. Overall	Assessment of Data	
_ist samples qu	alified based on other issues:	
Sample ID	Comments	Actions
	es_that_required_the_need_to_qualify_the_ ssion_purposes	dataResults_are_valid_and_can_be

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC20314

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8081B

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

One (1) sample was analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision O, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation.* The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary

guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

June 11, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC20314-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 13-May-16

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8081B

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.010	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.020	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.25	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes

	Project/Case Number	
	Sampling Date:	
	Shipping Date:	
	EPA Region No.:	2
REVIEW OF PESTICIDE ORG	ANIC PACKAGE	
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigudgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedence Hathw-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticided data validation actions listed on the data review guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in better serving the good to USEPA data varadous Waste Supples Data Validation. The	using professional needs of the data alidation guidance of Section SOP Note QC criteria and
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data packa arized. The data review t	ge received has beer for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC20314 No. of Samples:1	Sample matrix:	Aqueous
Trip blank No.: Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.: Field duplicate No.: Field spikes No.: QC audit samples: - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	_X Laboratory _X Field Duplic _X Calibrations _X Compound _X Compound _X Quantitatio	cates s Identifications Quantitation
Overall Comments:TCL_pesticides_list_by_SW846-80	81B	
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: Ama aman Date: June 11, 2016		

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED

All criteria were met _	X_	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	_	

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	ACTION
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	
Samples properly pro	eserved.		

Preservatives:_	All_samples_extracted_and_analyzed_within_the_required_criteria	

Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 2.4°C - OK

Actions

Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met see below	

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

1. Resolution Check Mixture

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column? Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%? Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)? Yes? or No?

Action

a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

	All criteria were metX	
Criteria	were not met see below	

3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

	All criteria were metX	
Criteria	were not met see below	

5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

Criteria -

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below	-

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	05/16/16
Dates of initial calibration verific	ation:05/16/16
Dates of continuing calibration:	05/17/16
Dates of final calibration	05/17/16
Instrument ID numbers:	GC1G
Matrix/Level:	_Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
				erformance criteria	nent performance criteria. Final calibration verification ge.

Criteria

Are a five point calibration curve delivered with concentration levels as shown in Table 3 of SOP HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015?

Yes? or No?

Actions

If the standard concentrations listed in Table 3 are not used, use professional judgment to evaluate the effect on the data

Criteria

Are RT Windows calculated correctly?

Yes? or No?

Action

Recalculate the windows and use the corrected values for all evaluations.

Criteria

Are the Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) of the CFs for each of the single component target compounds less than or equal to 20.0%, except for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

Continuing Calibration Checks

Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- b. If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- c. If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0% for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0%? Yes? or No?

Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	100

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria.

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamin	nation in the bla	anks below. Hig	h and low levels blanks	must be treated separately.
CRQL concentra	ationN	/A		
Laboratory blank	KS			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana	lytes_detected_	in_method_bla	anks_at_a_reporting_lin	nit_of_0.01_and_0.001_ug/L
Field/Equipment	/Trip blank			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/eq	uipment_blank	s_analyzed_wit	h_this_data_package	
				4
	7.70			
		- 7	107-2	
			59 3.55 0.5	

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to $10 \mu g/L$. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL	No qualification required
Method, Sulfur		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Cleanup, Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	> CRQL	≥ CRQL and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a
		Consonación	U
		≥ CRQL and > blank	No qualification required
		concentration	
	= CRQL	≤CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for
			sample concentration with a
			U

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					The same of the

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix:_Aqueou	s				
Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC20314-2 OP93925-BS1 OP93925-BSD OP93925-MB1	1G123140.D 1G123138.D 1G123139.D 1G123137.D	91 82 84 85	88 78 79 76	57 84 89 83	61 88 93 83
Surrogate Compounds		Recov Limits	ery		
S1 = Tetrachloro-m-xylene S2 = Decachlorobiphenyl		26-132 10-118			
	om GC signal #1 om GC signal #2				

Note: Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits.

Actions:

- a. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- b. Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- c. If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
 - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).

- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

	Action*			
Criteria	Detected Target	Non-detected Target		
	Compounds	Compounds		
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification		
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification			
10% < %R < 30%	J-	UJ		
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J-	R		
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment			
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment			
RT within RT window	No qualification			

Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

All criteria were met	_N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:	_	ı	Matrix/Level:			
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
- 17						

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed with this data package. BS/BSD recoveries used to assess accuracy. % recoveries within laboratory control limits.

Action

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _	_X_	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

	· ·		
LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
	·		
	of compounds w	of compounds which do not meet the criteria	of compounds which do not meet the criteria LCS ID COMPOUND % R

Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

Note: Blank spike/blank spike duplicate analyzed for aqueous matrices. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowN/A

FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package?

Yes? or No? N/A

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridge not used for sample extraction/clean-up. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were met _	_N/A	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were met	_X	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	_	

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

- 1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns?

 Yes? or No?
- 2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT ±0.05 minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within ±0.10 minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration?

 Yes? or No?
- 3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of \pm 25.0 %?

 Yes? or No?
- 4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor?

 Yes? or No?
- 5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale.

 Yes? or No?
- 6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale? Yes? or No? N/A
- 7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB?

 Yes? or No?
- 8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package.

 Yes? or No?

Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
 - If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

- ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).
- c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

- d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.
- e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.
- f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

Action:

- a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met (\geq 5.0 ng/µL for SCPs and \geq 125 ng/µL for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following guidance:
 - i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
 - ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not mel	
and/or see below	

COMPOUND QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Action:

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action				
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds			
% Moisture < 70.0	N	lo qualification			
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ			
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R			

lst san	nples which hav	ve ≤ 50 % so	HIGS			
				_	 	 _

Note: If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
100		
1		
	1	

All criteria were metNA	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Sample IDs:			Matrix:			
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION	
	+					
No field/laborato			this data package. LC within the required cri			

Actions:

- a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.
- b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:
 - i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
 - ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

Overall assessment of the data: Results are valid: the data can be used for decision making purposes.

26

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC20314

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8015C

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

- 1. Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific criteria in at least one of the column except for n-butyl alcohol. Results for n-butyl alcohol qualified UJ in samples JC20314-2 and JC20314-4.
- 2. Blank spike recovery outside laboratory control limits for isopropyl alcohol and n-butyl alcohol. No action taken, blank spike recovery within generally acceptable control limits; no associated positive found in QC batch.
- 3. MS/MSD recoveries for isobutyl alcohol and n-butyl alcohol over the upper laboratory control limit in sample JC19644-1MS/-1MSD. No action taken analytes not detected in sample batch. MS/MSD results apply only to unspiked sampled sample from a different project.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

June 11, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC20314-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	120	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	240	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC20314-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC20314-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	110	ug/kg	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	110	ug/kg	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	110	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	110	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	110	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	110	ug/kg	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	296	ug/kg	1.0	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: JC20314-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/13/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

	Project Number:JC20314
	Date:05/13/2016
	Shipping Date:05/13/2016
	EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF VOLATILE OF The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgr serving the needs of the data users. The sample results we guidance documents in the following order of precedent Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, Decentary utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed guidance document, unless otherwise noted. The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	created to delineate required validation actions. This ment to make more informed decision and in better vere assessed according to USEPA data validation ace: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waster mber 1023R)," specifically for Methods 8000/80150 on the data review worksheets are from the primary
and the quality control and performance data summarized. The	e modified data review for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC20314	
Field blank No.:	
Field blank No.: - JC20314-2 Field duplicate No.: -	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A_ GC/MS TuningN/A_ Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Overall Comments:_Selected_low_molecular_weight_alc	cohols_by_SW-846_8015C
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: Date:June_11_2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4		
		<u> </u>
		. 4
		_

All criteria were met
Criteria were not mel
and/or see below X

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION	
Samples analyze	d within the holding	time. All samples properl	y presen	red.	
		,			
	_		+		
					
			_		
			-		

Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH < 2, 4 $^{\circ}$ C), no air bubbles. Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles. Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 2.4°C

Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

Criteria were not met see below
GC/MS TUNING
The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits
N/A_ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
N/A_ BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.
If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.
List the samples affected:
If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

	Date of initia	al calibration:	05/09/16;_05/17/1	6	
	Dates of initi	al calibration verificatio	n:05/09/16;_05/17/1	6	
	Dates of cor	ntinuing calibration verif	ication:05/16	/16;_05/19/16	
				/16;_05/19/16	
	Instrument I	D number:	GCGH		
				<u> </u>	
			·		
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES	
		RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r		AFFECTED	
05/16/16	CC5269-5000	-24 9/-61 0	1-hutanol	JC20314-2: -4	1

Note: Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific requirements in at least one of the two columns except for the cases described in this document. 1-butanol result qualified UJ in affected samples.

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be < 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be < 20% regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of \geq 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _	Х_	_
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
3 700 600				
Field/Equipmen	_			·
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
·				
		0 ()		

All criteria were met _	X_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	20,

VB. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene) ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and \le AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

if the concentration is \geq SQL but \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is \geq SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
<u> </u>					
					,

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID		SURROGATE COMPOUND			ACTION	
ŀ	lexanol	DBFM	TOL-d8	BFB		
_All_surrogate_recov	veries_within_la	aboratory_conf	trol_limits			
						_
						_
QC Limits* (Aqueous						
		3to	to	to_		
QC Limits* (Solid-Lo						
		lto	to	to)	
QC Limits* (Solid-Me	*					
LL_to_UL	to	to	to	to_		
1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichk DBFM = Dibromofluc			TOL-d8 = BFB = Bro	Toluene- omofluoro		
* QC limits are	e laboratory in-l	nouse perform	ance criteria, LL =	lower lim	it, UL = upper limit.	
* If QC limits a	are not available	e, use limits of	80 - 120 % for a	queous an	id 70 – 130 % for	solid
samples.						
Actions:					20%	
QUALITY		%R < 10%	%R = 109	% - LL	%R > UL	
D 111	**	 				

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%. If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were mel
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC20464-1AMS/-MSD Sample ID:JC19644-1AMS/-MSD					x/Level: x/Level:	Soil Aqueous
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
MS/MSD%_red _JC19644-1	overies_and_RPD_w	ithin_labo	oratory_c	control_limits_e	except_for_the_	followings:
_MSD	_lsobutyl_alcohol	_132_%_		69131	No_action_	
_MS/MSD	_n-butyl_alcohol1	34/138_9	6	63131	No_action	

^{*} QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

^{*} If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD - Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:			Matrix/Le	vel/Unit:		_
COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION	
				X		
					-	

Actions:

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

^{*} If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).

^{*} If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met_	Х	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

		LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	
_	_Recoveries	_within_laborate	ory_control_limits_except_	_in_the_cases_desc	ribed_in_this_docur	ment
			Isopropyl_alcohol		_76121	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n-butyl_alcohol	126*b	67116	
			•			

Note:

- (a) Reported from 1st Signal. %RSD of initial calibration on 2nd signal excess method criteria (20%) so using for confirmation only.
- (b) High percent recoveries and no associated positive found in the QC batch.

No qualification performed; professional judgment.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

		All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met and/or see below
X.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
	-	•	 h this data package. MS pratory and generally ac		
0330	Jo proois	William I do	Jain generally do	ОСРЕВЬК	J dona of minus.

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

All criteria were met _	_N/A	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION	
					-	

Actions:

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 % TO – 50%	IS AREA > + 100%
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC20464-1 MS

Isopropyl alcohol

RF = 19.93

[] = (100015)/(19.93)

= 5,018 ppm OK

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
	DILUTION FACTOR

Percent Solids
List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids

Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)